Optum Health Education[™]

Degenerative Joint Disease: Evidencebased Approaches to Care

Chad Mather III, MD, MBA Medical Director, Optum Specialty Practices Medical Director, Specialist Engagement, Optimal Care Associate Professor, Department of Orthopaedic Surgery, Duke University Medical Center



Outline

Current Landscape of DJD care

Discuss the current evidence base for DJD

- Overview of the unique challenges of delivering evidence-based care for DJD
- 4

2

3

Overview of Innovative Delivery Models

DJD Care today

Who is the DJD patient?

Chronic disease 2/3 have psychologic distress



Degenerative Joint Disease costly, disabling, prevalent, growing



Psychological distress is common and heterogeneous

■ low distress ■ negative mood ■ high overal distress ■ poor coping & self efficacy ■ poor self-efficacy

Psychological Distress Phenotypes

- 1. High distress
- 2. Low distress distress
- 3. Fear avoidance (catastrophizing)
- 4. Negative pain coping
- 5. Isolated negative mood



DJD Care Today

Who is the DJD patient?

Chronic disease 2/3 have psychologic distress

Who cares for them?

MSK experts may not have the skills or alignment for chronic disease management



67%

How are they treated?

20% have a joint replacement Disproportionate focus on surgery



Optum Health Education[™]

Degenerative Joint Disease costly, disabling, prevalent, growing

Evidence-based care?

Many DJD Guidelines

GUIDELINES

Care and management of osteoarthritis in adults: summary of NICE guidance

Philip G Conaghan,¹ John Dickson,² Robert L Grant,³ on behalf of the Guideline Development Group

The American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons Evidence-Based Guideline on

Treatment of Osteoarthritis of the Knee, 2nd Edition

David S. Jevsevar, MD, MBA Gregory Alexander Brown, MD, PhD Dina L. Jones, PT, PhD Elizabeth G. Matzkin, MD Paul A. Manner, MD, FRCSC Pekka Mooar, MD John T. Schousboe, MD, PhD Steven Stovitz, MD James O. Sanders, MD Kevin J. Bozic, MD, MBA Michael J. Goldberg, MD William Robert Martin, III, MD Deborah S. Cummins, PhD Patrick Donnelly, MA Anne Woznica, MLIS Leeaht Gross, MPH



Royal Australian College of General Practitioners

Guideline for the management of knee and hip osteoarthritis Second edition

Osteoarthritis and Cartilage

OARSI guidelines for the non-surgical management of knee osteoarthritis



OSTEOARTHRITI

T.E. McAlindon †*, R.R. Bannuru †, M.C. Sullivan †, N.K. Arden ‡, F. Berenbaum § ||, S.M. Bierma-Zeinstra ¶, G.A. Hawker #, Y. Henrotin ††‡‡, D.J. Hunter §§, H. Kawaguchi || ||, K. Kwoh ¶¶, S. Lohmander ##, F. Rannou †††, E.M. Roos ‡‡‡, M. Underwood §§§



AAOS Clinical Practice Guidelines

Hip OA

- 222 strong evidence
 - For PT
 - For steroid injections
 - For non-narcotic management
 - Against HA
- 2222 moderate evidence
 - Worst outcomes with mental health disorders

Knee OA

- $\dot{}$ $\dot{}$ $\dot{}$ $\dot{}$ $\dot{}$ strong evidence
 - For rehabilitation, education & wellness activity
 - For NSAIDS
 - Against HA
 - Against Arthroscopy
- 222 moderate evidence
 - For weight loss



NICE Guidelines, 2014 8

Too little of recommended care is delivered Limited codes for evidence-based treatments

	Percentage of Patients	Total Cost	Percentage of Total Knee OA Cost
Treatment			
РТ	16.7%	\$1,511,448	6.99
Knee Brace	6.5%	\$434,096	2.09
NSAID	23.1%	\$232,394	1.19
Tramadol	9 4%	\$18,184	0.19
Opioid	20.9%	\$128,784	0.69
CS Injection	58.6%	\$2,006,268	0.19
HA Injection	26.2%	\$5,048,392	23.09
Arthroscopic	0.4%	\$97,066	0.49
Debridement			
Imaging			
XR	94.9%	\$1,897,371	8.69
СТ	3.3%	\$158,131	0.79
MRI	18.3%	\$1,687,316	7.79
Evaluation and Management			
E/M	98.1%	\$6,028,927	27.49
Total		\$19,248,377	87.69

Optum Health Education[™]

9

DJD Care today

Why?

Who is the DJD patient?

Chronic disease 2/3 have psychologic distress

Who cares for them?

80% by orthopedic surgeons Chronic disease cared for by procedural specialists



20%

67%

How are they treated?

20% have a joint replacement Disproportionate focus on surgery



Degenerative Joint Disease costly, disabling, prevalent, growing



30%

10% of patients account for half of non-operative spend



Appropriateness?

Equity?

34% of TKA are not appropriate44% are clearly appropriate

Evidence-based care?

Limited alignment with guidelines 30% of spend is low value Void of high value non-op care

3 major influences



Optum Health Education[™]

ducation & adv

Optimal OA Care is our solution to this problem

- Biopsychosocial approach
- Condition-based care provided by a multidisciplinary provider
- Collaborative care
- Measurement
- Guideline adherent care
- Infrastructure and technology
- Building culture and community
- Self-management



Diving deeper into the evidence



Optimal Care OA Program





6 wks

70

65

60

Baseline

3

*1

Optimal OA Care is inspired by the Duke Joint Health Program

1 year





Proportion of patients have functional outcomes scores above a threshold where joint replacement is unlikely to confer clinical benefit (72% knee, 67% hip)

74% of knee patients meet the minimal clinically important difference, 57% achieve substantial clinical benefit

Can physical therapists improve psychological distress? Yes! The average patient sees a 50% reduction in psychological distress. **Baseline distress is primary predictor of clinical benefit**

Pain scores improve between 2 and 3 points, a clinically significant change

50%

14-67%

1. Avg preoperative TJA score **Optum Health Education** © 2022 Optum Health Education. All rights reserve

Knee Injury & OA Outcome Score (KOOS)Hip Injury & OA Outcome Score (HOOS)

3 mo

VAS Pain

Psychological distress

6 mo

Reduction in utilization and willingness to pursue joint replacement ^{1,2}

Myths and Truths

DJD Edition

Imaging is essential to diagnosis and appropriate treatment

NICE National Institute for Health and Care Excellence

1.1 Diagnosis

1.1.1 Diagnose osteoarthritis clinically without investigations if a person:

is 45 or over and

has activity-related joint pain and

has either no morning joint-related stiffness or morning stiffness that lasts no longer than 30 minutes. [2014]

1.1.2Be aware that atypical features, such as a history of trauma, prolonged morning joint-related stiffness, rapid worsening of symptoms or the presence of a hot swollen joint, may indicate alternative or additional diagnoses. Important differential diagnoses include gout, other inflammatory arthritides (for example, rheumatoid arthritis), septic arthritis and malignancy (bone pain). **[2014]**

Imaging is essential to diagnosis and appropriate treatment



Mather et al, AJSM, 2014

Truth: Imaging is not essential and enables a narrow view of the disease

We know arthroscopy isn't effective for arthritis but does have an important role for meniscus tears

We know arthroscopy isn't effective for arthritis but does have an important role for meniscus tears



Original Investigation | Orthopedics Effect of Physical Therapy vs Arthroscopic Partial Meniscectomy in People With Degenerative Meniscal Tears Five-Year Follow-up of the ESCAPE Randomized Clinical Trial

Julia C. A. Noorduyn, MSc; Victor A. van de Graaf, MD, PhD; Nienke W. Willigenburg, PhD; Gwendolyne G. M. Scholten-Peeters, PhD; Esther J. Kret, MSc; Rogier A. van Dijk, MD, PhD; Rachelle Buchbinder, MD, PhD; Gillian A. Hawker, MD, PhD; Michel W. Coppieters, PhD; Rudolf W. Poolman, MD, PhD; for the ESCAPE Research Group

Arthritis & Rheumatology Vol. 72, No. 2, February 2020, pp 273–281 DOI 10.1002/art.41082 © 2019, American College of Rheumatology American College of Rheumatology Empowering Rhenmatology Professionals

Five-Year Outcome of Operative and Nonoperative Management of Meniscal Tear in Persons Older Than Forty-Five Years

Jeffry N Kat z, ¹ Swastina Shrestha, ¹ Elena Losina, ¹ Morgan H. Jones, ² Robert G. Marx, ³ Lisa A. Mandl, ³ Bruce A. Levy, ⁴ Lindsey A. MacFarlane, ¹ Kurt P. Spindler, ² Genevieve S. Silva, ¹ METEOR Investigators, and Jamie E. Collins¹ 16 sessions of exercise-based PT non-inferior to arthroscopy Comparable rates of radiographic OA progression

12 wks of strengthening-based PT HR for TKA 2.0 in the intention to treat a

HR for TKA 2.0 in the intention to treat analysis HR for 4.9 in the as-treated analysis

Truth: Degenerative meniscus tears are degenerative joint disease and evidence-based treatments are generally the same

Non-operative treatment is only effective for low severity DJD

Non-operative treatment is only effective for low severity DJD





PROs for patients with different knee OA severities in the JHP

Whole person approach plus knee replacement produced clinically significant benefit in 90% of patients

Truth: Whole-person, evidenced based approach to DJD care produces equivalent outcomes for all grades of radiographic OA

Summary

The evidence and barriers to delivery are best explained by a narrow, biomedical view of DJD

- Misconceptions
- Incomplete evaluation
- Negative language
- Imaging



Future developments should focus on treatments and delivery models consistent with the *biopsychosocial model*

- Combination treatments
- Increasingly precise and efficient messaging to inform and engage patients

